1 2 3 4 5 6	Robert S. Green (State Bar No. 136183) Charles D. Marshall (CA State Bar No. 236444) GREEN WELLING LLP 595 Market Street, Suite 2750 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 477-6700 Facsimile: (415) 477-6710 cacd.uscourts@classcounsel.com Attorneys for Plaintiff	
8	UNITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11		CV09-01485 FMC (JW x)
12	DAVID M. SKAGGS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly	Case No.
13	situated,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
14	Plaintiff,) COMPLAINT FOR) VIOLATION OF:
15	V.) 1. THE UNFAIR) COMPETITION LAW (BUS.) & PROFS. CODE §17200 et.
16	CHASE BANK USA, N.A.,) <u>seq.</u>);
17	Defendant.) 2. FÁLSE ADVERTISING LAW) (BUS. & PROFS. CODE§
18) 17500 et seq.);) 3. BREACH OF CONTRACT;
19) 4. NEGLIGENT) MISREPRESENTATION;
20) 5. FRAUD;) 6. UNJUST ENRICHMENT
21) /RESTITUTION;) 7. BREACH OF IMPLIED
22	·	COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING:
23) 8. VIOLATION OF 15 U.S.C.) 1601 ET SEQ.; AND
24		9. DECLARATORY RELIEF
25)
26		JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
27		
28		BYFAX
asing conj	The state of the s	57.2
	·	·

Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, hereby submits the following class action complaint. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, upon personal knowledge as to his own acts and status, and upon information and belief as to all other matters, allege as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. This class action seeks to remedy a carefully-calculated effort by Defendant Chase Bank USA, N.A. ("Chase") to force customers to pay higher interest rates and amounts than they bargained for in connection with their loan agreements with Chase.
- 2. In November 2008, Chase reneged on promises used to induce credit card holders to transfer balances from other credit card accounts, or to use Chase's "loan checks" to increase the amount of their credit outstanding. The promise that induced these cardholders to increase the amount of the credit they held at Chase was that the balances of the credit transfer or loan check amounts would remain at a specified low interest rate, 4.77% and 5.99% for Plaintiff's two accounts, until the balance was paid off. Chase reneged by placing a \$10 per month finance charge on these accounts, and raising the minimum payment due each month. Chase then uses its unilateral implementation of these onerous terms to coerce cardholders to agree to a higher rate of interest or to pay the loan balances in full.
- 3. In doing so, Chase consistently misrepresents the facts applicable to these accounts and violates the Truth in Lending Act. For example, the "Important Notice Regarding Changes to Your Account Terms" falsely states that, "Your APRs will not be impacted by these changes." According to Chase, it implemented these changes because the promised low APRs "are not profitable enough."
- 4. Chase's conduct is without legal justification. Chase unilaterally, unfairly and illegally changed the terms of the loans, increasing their effective

interest rates. Chase increased the interest rates and minimum monthly payments on these accounts in an attempt to unfairly accelerate repayment of outstanding balances and to increase immediate revenues.

- 5. As a result, Plaintiff and similarly situated Chase customers have been damaged by, inter alia, having to pay interest accrued at higher rates than Chase promised would govern for the life of the loan or by being presented with false information about their options at Chase or by incurring the costs and effort of transferring their accounts.
- 6. Chase has failed to fulfill the promises made to its customers and has contravened its representations, including the very representations that induced customers to incur debt, thereby breaching its loan agreements with customers and violating common and statutory law prohibiting false advertising and unfair and fraudulent business practices.
- 7. Chase further failed to adequately disclose finance charges levied in connection with these accounts, in violation of the Truth in Lending Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1601 et. seq. ("TILA").
- 8. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, seeks declaratory relief, and injunctive relief to stop Chase from overcharging its customers, as well as damages, restitution, disgorgement of profits, and costs of suit as may be appropriate.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

9. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1640(e), and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1332(d), since there are at least 100 class members in the proposed class, the combined claims of proposed class members exceed \$5,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs, and there are

numerous class members who are citizens of states other than Defendant's state of citizenship, which is New York or Delaware.

- 10. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Chase because a substantial portion of the wrongdoing alleged in this Complaint took place in this state, Chase is authorized to do business here, Chase has sufficient minimum contacts with this state, and/or Chase otherwise intentionally avails itself of markets in this state through the promotion, marketing and sale of its products and services in this state, to render the exercise of jurisdiction by this Court permissible under traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 11. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) because Plaintiff resides here, because Chase has hundreds, if not thousands, of customers in this District, because Chase has received substantial fees from consumers who hold accounts here, and because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in this District.

PARTIES

- 12. Plaintiff David M. Skaggs is an individual over the age of 18 and a resident of West Hills, California.
- 13. Chase is a national banking association, headquartered in New York, New York. Chase is a wholly-owned subsidiary of JP Morgan Chase & Co. ("JPM"), a leading global financial services firm with assets of approximately \$2.3 trillion. Chase is the legal entity for JPM's credit card business.
- 14. Chase is one of the largest credit card companies in the United States, with at least hundreds of thousands of credit card customers throughout the United States and at least tens of thousands of credit card customers throughout the state of California.

- 15. Approximately four years ago, Chase began to offer its customers loans with low interest rates which it represented would last "until the balance is paid off." These loan offers are initiated through balance transfer offers and "blank checks" that Chase sends from time to time to its customers. During the relevant time period, Chase offered such loans at interest rates ranging from 2.99% to 5.99% APR for the life of the loan. As consideration for the loan offer, Chase charged a transaction fee, typically 3% of the transaction or \$5 at a minimum.
- 16. In some respects, these loan offers are subject to Chase's "Cardmember Agreement," which gives Chase the right to revoke the "life of the loan" offer in the event of default, but does not give Chase the right to change the applicable interest rate for these "life of the loan" loans absent a default.
- 17. Not satisfied with its return on these low interest loans, and in an effort to squeeze additional funds from its customers, Chase decided to unilaterally, illegally and unfairly change the terms of Borrowers' loans. These changes were intended to, and had the effect of, forcing Borrowers to immediately pay their loan balances in full, increasing the applicable interest rates for Borrowers, and/or forcing Borrowers to transfer their existing loan balances to accounts with higher and variable interest rates.
- 18. Beginning in November 2008, Chase sent "notices" to each Borrower with an outstanding loan balance, notifying them of the following changes to the terms of their loan agreements:
 - A new "Account Service Charge" of \$10 per month will be applied to each Borrower's account.

• Each Borrower's minimum payment (i.e. the amount they need to pay each month to not be in default) was increased by 150%--from 2% to 5% of the ending balance on their monthly statement.¹

- 19. These new terms were not previously disclosed to Borrowers, either in Chase's offer letters sent to Borrowers or otherwise.
- 20. The "notices" further state that these new and unfair changes will apply "unless you notify us that you wish to close your account within 30 days...and at the same time, you pay your outstanding balance in full." Chase did not otherwise give Borrowers the option to opt out of the changes set forth in the "notices."
- 21. The "notices" further state: "Important: Your APRs will not be impacted by these changes." That assertion is false.
- 22. The monthly \$10 "Account Service Charge," which Chase refers to as a "finance charge" in its monthly billing statements and elsewhere in the "notice" refers to as a "Service Charge-Finance Charge," is effectively an increase to the low interest rates that Chase falsely promised would apply "for the life of the loan."
- 23. For financing purposes, Chase carries the monthly \$10 finance charge as a credit card purchase even though it was imposed as a charge incurred in connection with Borrowers' loan transfer or check loans. This is true even if Borrowers have no purchases or charges other than their initial promotional amount.
- 24. Chase's unilateral changes to the terms of Borrowers' accounts, described herein, are not consistent with sound banking judgment or safe and sound banking principles.

¹ A true and correct exemplar of the "notice" sent by Defendant is attached hereto.

- 25. When Plaintiff complained about the changes to his account as set forth in the "notices," Chase presented him with two options to avoid such changes: (1) paying their loan balance in full immediately (which was the only option presented in the "notice"); or (2) transferring his loan balance to a new account with a higher, limited duration interest rate (typically, 7.99%), with Chase having broad discretion to significantly increase that interest rate after the limited duration period expires.
- 26. Thus, by threatening to effectively raise Borrowers' interest rates through the imposition of the monthly \$10 finance charge and dramatically increasing their minimum monthly payments, Chase sought to coerce Borrowers to pay their entire loan balances in full immediately or transfer their loan balances to accounts with significantly higher and variable interest rates.
- 27. As a result of the conduct described above, Plaintiff and other members of the Class, defined below, suffered harm in that they were subjected to interest rates and minimum payments that were higher than was promised by Chase, were subjected to false and illegal statements in an attempt to force them to convert to higher interest loans and, in most cases, were forced to pay Chase more than they bargained for. Chase has been substantially and unjustly enriched as a result of the conduct describe above.
- 28. Plaintiff has held his account for approximately four years. During that time, he has received numerous promotional loan offers from Chase.
- 29. In or about October 2006, based on Chase's representations, Plaintiff accepted an offer from Chase to conduct an online balance transfer for approximately \$6,500 at an interest rate of 5.99% APR until the balance on the loan was paid off.
- 30. In or about February 2007, based on Chase's representations, Plaintiff accepted an offer from Chase to conduct an online balance transfer for

approximately \$16,500 at an interest rate of 4.77% APR until the balance on the loan was paid off.

- 31. Neither offer indicated in any way that a service or finance charge would or could be applied, or that Plaintiff's interest rate would or could be increased, if Plaintiff was not in default.
- 32. Since he accepted these loan offers, Plaintiff made regular monthly payments and has never been in default on either account.
- 33. Plaintiff never received the November 2008 "notice" stating that:
 (1) Chase was imposing a \$10 monthly "Account Service Charge" in connection with his balance transfer; and (2) his minimum monthly payment was being increased from 2% to 5% of his outstanding balance.
- 34. Upon discovering the changes to his account, Plaintiff called Chase to discuss them. Plaintiff spoke with a Chase customer service representative, who stated that Plaintiff would be subjected to these changes going forward unless he either: (1) paid off his loan balance in full; or (2) agreed to transfer his entire loan balance to a new account with a limited duration interest rate of 7.99% APR, with Chase having the right to increase that interest rate at the end of the limited duration period. A Chase representative also told Plaintiff that the changes had nothing to do with his credit history, but that it was an action Chase needed to take "due to general market conditions."
- 35. None of the claims for relief asserted in this controversy are subject to arbitration or any valid arbitration agreement. To the extent that Defendant asserts that such claims are subject to an arbitration agreement, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class—as defined below—seeks declaratory relief in the form of a finding that such a purported agreement is void and unenforceable as against public policy and/or unconscionable.

36. Plaintiff brings this action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, on behalf of himself and others similarly situated. The "Class" is defined as follows:

All persons or entities who reside in the state of California and who entered into a loan agreement with Chase, whereby Chase promised an applicable interest rate of between 2.99% to 5.99% APR until the loan balance was paid in full, but who have been charged, or notified by Chase that they will be charged, a \$10 monthly "Account Service Charge" in connection with their accounts and/or required to make monthly minimum payments higher than 2% of their outstanding loan balance.

- 37. The following persons shall be excluded from the Class: (1) Chase and its subsidiaries and affiliates; (2) all persons who make a timely election to be excluded from the proposed Class; (3) governmental entities; and (4) the judge(s) to whom this case is assigned and any immediate family members thereof.
- 38. Plaintiffs reserve the right to modify or amend the Class definition(s) before the Court determines whether certification is appropriate.
- 39. Certification of Plaintiff's claims for class-wide treatment is appropriate because Plaintiffs can prove the elements of their claims on a class-wide basis using the same evidence as would be used to prove those elements in individual actions alleging the same claims.
- 40. <u>Numerosity Under Rule 23(a)(1)</u>. The members of the Class are so numerous that individual joinder of all the members is impracticable. Plaintiff is informed and believe that there are at least many thousands of Chase card holders who have been damaged by Chase's unfair, deceptive and illegal conduct alleged herein.
- 41. <u>Commonality Under Rule 23(a)(2)</u>. This action involves common questions of law and fact, including, but not limited to, the following:

9
CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

- j. Whether Chase's conduct complained of herein is reckless;
- k. Whether Plaintiff and members of the Class are entitled to damages, restitution, disgorgement of profits, declaratory relief, and/or injunctive relief, as a result of Chase's conduct complained of herein; and
- 1. Whether the arbitration and class action waiver provision contained in Chase's Cardmember Agreement are contrary to public policy, unconscionable, and unenforceable.
- 42. <u>Typicality Under Rule 23(a)(3)</u>. The named Plaintiff's claims are typical of (and not antagonistic to) the claims of the members of the Class. Plaintiff and the members of the Class he seeks to represent have all been deceived and damaged by Chase's deceptive conduct and breach.
- 43. Adequacy of Representation under Rule 23(a)(4). Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class, and the representative Plaintiff's interests are coincident with and not antagonistic to those of the other class members he seeks to represent. Plaintiff has retained competent counsel to represent them and the Class.
- 44. The Class Can Be Properly Maintained Under Rules 23(b)(2) and (c). Chase has acted or refused to act, with respect to some or all issues presented in this Complaint, on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief with respect to the Class as a whole.
- 45. The Class Can Be Properly Maintained Under Rules 23(b)(3) and (c). Questions of law and fact common to the members of the Class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members with respect to some or all issues presented in this Complaint. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Individual litigation of the claims of all class members is impracticable because the cost of litigation

would be prohibitively expensive for each class member and would impose an immense burden upon the courts. Individualized litigation would also present the potential for varying, inconsistent, or contradictory judgments and would magnify the delay and expense to all parties and to the court system resulting from multiple trials of the same complex legal and factual issues. By contrast, the conduct of this action as a class action, with respect to some or all of the issues presented in this Complaint, presents fewer management difficulties, conserves the resources of the parties and of the court system, and is the only means to protect the rights of all class members.

CAUSES OF ACTION

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Bus. & Prof. Section 17200, et seq.)

- 46. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class, re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in the preceding paragraphs, as though they are alleged in full herein.
- 47. Chase's conduct described herein violates Cal. Bus & Prof. Code § 17200 et seq. (the "UCL") in the following respects:
- (a) Chase's imposition of monthly finance charges-which effectively increased the interest rates that Chase promised would apply "for the life of the loan"-breached its loan agreements with Plaintiff and members of the Class, and constitutes an unfair and unlawful business act or practice within the meaning of the UCL.
- (b) Chase's subjecting the monthly finance charges to an interest rate significantly higher than the rates Chase promised would apply "for the life of the loan" breached its loan agreements with Plaintiff and members of the Class, and constitutes an unfair and unlawful business act or practice within the meaning of the UCL.

- (c) Chase's efforts, described above, to pressure Plaintiff and members of the Class to pay their loan balances in full immediately, and to coerce Plaintiff and members of the Class to transfer their loan balances to accounts with higher, limited duration interest rates, constitute unfair business acts or practices within the meaning of the UCL.
- (d) Chase's false representations that interest rates of between 2.99% and 5.99% APR would apply "for the life of the loan" constitute unfair business acts or practices within the meaning of the UCL.
- (e) Chase's false representations that interest rates of between 2.99% and 5.99% APR would apply "for the life of the loan" are likely to mislead the general public and, consequently, constitute fraudulent business acts or practices within the meaning of the UCL.
- (f) Chase's false representations that Plaintiff's and Class members' interest rates "will not be impacted by" the changes to the loan terms set forth in Chase's "notices" constitute unfair business acts or practices within the meaning of the UCL.
- (g) Chase's false representations that Plaintiffs' and Class members' interest rates "will not be impacted by" the changes to the loan terms set forth in Chase's "notices" are likely to mislead the general public and, consequently, constitute fraudulent business acts or practices within the meaning of the UCL.
- (h) Chase's conduct described herein is unconscionable, a violation of California Civil Code § 1770(a)(19), and thus constitutes an unlawful business act or practice within the meaning of the UCL.
- 48. The harm to Plaintiff and the Class arising from Chase's unfair, illegal and fraudulent practices outweighs the utility, if any, of those practices.

- 49. The unfair, illegal and fraudulent business practices of Chase are immoral, unethical, oppressive, unscrupulous, unconscionable and/or substantially injurious to Plaintiff and members of the Class.
- 50. Unless restrained by this Court, Chase will continue to engage in the unfair, illegal and fraudulent acts and practices alleged above.
- 51. As a result of Chase's violations of the UCL, Plaintiff and members of the Class have been injured in that they have been subjected to excessive interest rates and have paid excessive amounts of money to Chase.
- 52. Pursuant to Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17203, Plaintiff and the Class are therefore entitled to:
- (a) an Order requiring Chase to cease the acts of unfair competition alleged herein;
- (b) full restitution of all excessive amounts paid to Chase, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 384;
 - (c) interest at the highest rate allowable by law; and
- (d) payment of their attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to, inter alia, Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 1021.5.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (Cal Bus. & Prof. Code § 17500 et seq.)

- 53. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class, re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in the preceding paragraphs, as though they are alleged in full herein.
- 54. Chase has committed acts of untrue and misleading advertising, as defined in Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17500, et seq., by:
- (a) falsely representing that interest rates of between 2.99% to 5.99% APR would apply to Plaintiff's and Class members' promotional loans "for the life of the loan."; and

- (b) falsely representing that Plaintiff's and Class members' interest rates "will not be impacted by" the changes to the loan terms set forth in Chase's "notices."
- 55. Chase's misrepresentations deceive or have the tendency to deceive the recipients of such representations regarding the applicable interest rates.
- 56. Chase's misrepresentations are objectively material to the reasonable consumer, and therefore reliance upon such representations may be presumed as a matter of law.
- 57. Plaintiff and members of the Class reasonably and justifiably relied on such misrepresentations.
- 58. Unless restrained by this Court, Chase will continue to engage in untrue and misleading advertising, as alleged above.
- 59. As a result of Chase's untrue and misleading advertising, Plaintiff and members of the Class have been injured and have lost money or property, and are entitled to restitution and injunctive relief.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (Breach of Contract)

- 60. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class, re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in the preceding paragraphs, as though they are alleged in full herein.
- 61. The material terms of Chase's loan agreements with Plaintiff and the members of the Class included Chase's promise that interest rates of between 2.99% and 5.99% APR would remain in effect "for the life of the loan."
- 62. Plaintiff and members of the Class gave consideration that was fair and reasonable, and have performed all conditions, covenants, and promises required to be performed under their respective loan agreements with Chase.

- 68. Chase's misrepresentations were supplied for the purpose of affecting Plaintiff's and Class members' financial decisions.
- 69. Chase had no reasonable grounds for believing that its misrepresentations were true.
- 70. Chase failed to exercise reasonable care and/or diligence in communicating its misrepresentations.
- 71. Chase's misrepresentations were objectively material to the reasonable consumer, and therefore reliance upon such representations may be presumed as a matter of law.
- 72. Chase intended that Plaintiff and members of the Class would rely on its misrepresentations.
- 73. Plaintiff and members of the Class reasonably and justifiably relied to their detriment on Chase's misrepresentations.
- 74. As a proximate result of Chase's misrepresentations, Plaintiff and members of the Class were damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 75. Chase directly benefit from, and was unjustly enriched by, its misrepresentations.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Fraud)

- 76. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class, re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in the preceding paragraphs, as though they are alleged in full herein.
- 77. As alleged herein, in the course of conducting its business of providing credit products and services, Chase has intentionally and falsely represented to Plaintiff and members of the Class that:
- (a) interest rates of between 2.99% to 5.99% APR would apply to their promotional loans "for the life of the loan."; and

- (b) their interest rates "will not be impacted by" the changes to the loan terms set forth in Chase's "notices."
- 78. The misrepresentations alleged herein were objectively material to the reasonable consumer, and therefore reliance upon such representations may be presumed as a matter of law.
- 79. Chase knew that the misrepresentations alleged herein were false at the time it made them and/or acted recklessly in making such misrepresentations.
- 80. In making the misrepresentations alleged herein, Chase intended that Plaintiff and members of the Class would rely on such misrepresentations.
- 81. Plaintiff and members of the Class reasonably and justifiably relied to their detriment on Chase's intentional misrepresentations.
- 82. As a proximate result of Chase's intentional misrepresentations, Plaintiff and members of the Class suffered damages in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 83. Chase directly benefitted from, and was unjustly enriched by, its intentional misrepresentations.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Unjust Enrichment/Restitution)

- 84. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class, re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in the preceding paragraphs, as though they are alleged in full herein.
- 85. By its deceptive, misleading and unlawful conduct alleged herein, Chase unjustly received a benefit at the expense of Plaintiff and Class members.
- 86. It is unjust to allow Chase to retain the profits from its deceptive, misleading and unlawful conduct alleged herein without providing compensation to Plaintiff and the Class.

87. Chase acted with conscious disregard for the rights of Plaintiff and Class members.

88. Plaintiff and members of the Class are entitled to restitution of, disgorgement of, and/or the imposition of a constructive trust upon, all profits, benefits, and other compensation obtained by Chase from its deceptive, misleading and unlawful conduct.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Breach of Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing)

- 89. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class, re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in the preceding paragraphs, as though they are alleged in full herein.
- 90. Under common law, a covenant of good faith and fair dealing is implied into every contract.
- 91. Chase violated this covenant of good faith and fair dealing in its loan agreements with Plaintiff and members of the Class by: (a) unilaterally imposing unfair and unconscionable changes to the interest rates and other loan terms; (b) coercing and/or attempting to coerce Plaintiff and members of the Class to pay their entire loan balances in full prematurely; and (c) coercing and/or attempting to coerce Plaintiff and members of the Class to transfer their loan balances to accounts with higher and variable interest rates.
- 92. Plaintiff and members of the Class performed all, or substantially all, of the significant duties required by their loan agreements with Chase.
- 93. The conditions required for Chase's performance under the loan agreements had occurred.
- 94. Chase unfairly interfered with the right of Plaintiff and Class members to receive the benefits under their loan agreements with Chase.

95. Plaintiff and the Class have been damaged by Chase's breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing in an amount to be proven at trial.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION (15 U.S.C. § 1601 et. seq.)

- 96. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class, re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in the preceding paragraphs, as though they are alleged in full herein.
- 97. Pursuant to TILA (15 U.S.C. § 1601 et seq.) and the regulations promulgated thereunder, Chase was required to make certain "Initial Disclosures" in connection with Class member's check loans(s), setting forth, among other things, "the circumstances under which a finance charge will be imposed and an explanation of how it will be determined." 12 C.F.R. § 226.6.
- 98. Beginning in approximately November 2008, Chase stated in its notice of change of terms that in January 2009, it would begin assessing a \$10 monthly finance charge in connection with each Class member's account. This charge constitutes a "finance charge" under TILA, such that Chase was required to disclose and explain it as part of its "Initial Disclosures" made in connection with the original promotional solicitation. 15 U.S.C. § 1605(a), 12 C.F.R. § 226.6.
- 99. Chase failed to disclose the \$10 monthly finance charge, or explain how it would be determined, in its Initial Disclosures, in violation of TILA.
- 100. Chase's failure to disclose the \$10 monthly charge and to explain how it would be determined was intentional.
- 101. Chase's change in terms notice falsely states that, "Your APRs will not be impacted by these changes." Additionally, Chase's periodic statements misstate the APR applicable to the purchase amount and to the promotional amount by attributing 100% of the \$10 monthly finance charge solely to the purchases APR, in all cases without regard to whether there are purchases or not.

102. As a result of Chase's violations of TILA, Plaintiff and Class members have been harmed and are entitled to injunctive relief and to recover damages and attorneys' fees. 15 U.S.C. § 1640.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Declaratory Relief)

- 103. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class, re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth in the preceding paragraphs, as though they are alleged in full herein.
- 104. A dispute has arisen between Plaintiff and the Class and Defendants over Chase's implementation of its \$10 monthly finance charge and its impact on Class members' APRs.
- 105. Plaintiff contends that he did not agree to arbitration or to waive his rights to bring claims on behalf of a class. To the extent Defendant asserts that the claims of Plaintiff and the Class *are* subject to an arbitration agreement, Plaintiff seeks declaratory relief in the form of a finding that such a purported agreement is void and unenforceable as against public policy and/or unconscionable in at least the following respects:
 - a. To the extent Defendant asserts that an arbitration agreement waives Plaintiff's right to bring his claims on behalf of the Class, such an arbitration agreement is unconscionable and unenforceable.
 - b. To the extent any such waiver of class claims exists in an arbitration agreement, it removes the only practicable way for consumers to deter and redress the wrongs alleged in this Complaint, thus making such an arbitration agreement unconscionable and unenforceable.

- d. To the extent Defendant asserts an arbitration agreement that is a consumer contract of adhesion presented to Plaintiff and the Class in a take-it-or-leave-it manner, and Defendant maintains superior bargaining over Plaintiff and the Class, such an arbitration agreement is unconscionable and unenforceable.
- 106. Plaintiff seeks a declaratory relief from this court in the form of an order that any purported arbitration agreement between the Class and Defendant is void and unenforceable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class, request that the Court order relief and enter judgment against Chase as follows:

- 1. An order certifying the proposed Class and appointing Plaintiffs and his counsel of record to represent the Class;
- 2. An order that Chase be permanently enjoined from its improper conduct and practices alleged herein;
- 3. A judgment awarding Plaintiff and members of the Class actual damages in an amount according to proof for Chase's breaches of its loan agreements and for all other of Chase's conduct alleged under all causes of action herein entitling Plaintiff and members of the Class to actual damages;
- 4. A judgment awarding Plaintiff and members of the Class restitution, including, without limitation, disgorgement of all profits and unjust enrichment obtained by Chase as a result of its unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent business practices and conduct alleged herein;
- 5. A judgment awarding Plaintiff and members of the Class exemplary damages for Chase's knowing, willful, and intentional conduct, as alleged herein;
- 6. Declaratory relief that any purported arbitration agreement between the Class and Defendant is void and unenforceable.

	1	
1	7. Prejudgment and post-judgment interest;	
2	8. Attorneys' fees, expenses, and the costs of this action; and	
3	9. All other and further relief as the Court deems necessary, just and	
4	proper.	
5	<u>JURY DEMAND</u>	
6	Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38(b), Plaintiff demands a trial by jury for all	
7	issues so triable under the law.	
8	DATED: March 2, 2009	GREEN WELLING LLP
9		M_2 (ρ
10		By: Charles D. Marshall
11		Charles D. Iviaishan
12		Robert S. Green (CA State Bar No. 136183)
13		Robert S. Green (CA State Bar No. 136183) 595 Market Street, Suite 2750 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 477-6700 Facsimile: (415) 477-6710
14		Facsimile: (415) 477-6710
15		Attorneys for Plaintiff
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		22

EXHIBIT A

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING CHANGES TO YOUR ACCOUNT

We're sending you this notice to advise you of some new changes to your credit card account. These changes will take place automatically and will be effective with your January 2009 statement.

Here's a summary of the key changes:

- A new Account Service Charge of \$10 per month will be applied to your account.
- Your minimum payment due will increase from 2% to 5% of the ending balance on your monthly statement. As a result, your required monthly minimum payment will increase.

Important: Your APRs will not be impacted by these changes.

Remember:

- If you are enrolled in Chase Automatic Payments and have selected the minimum payment option, your minimum payment will automatically be increased to reflect the new minimum payment due changes.
- Also, if you have your payments sent to us automatically from another bank, remember to adjust the amount for this new minimum payment required to keep your account in good standing.

The key factors we considered when making these changes include the current APRs and revolving balances associated with your account.

If you have any questions regarding these changes, please contact us by calling the customer service number on the back of your card.

Below you'll find the official amendments to the terms of your Cardmember Agreement. Please read all of the information and keep this notice for your records.

1. AMENDMENTS TO YOUR AGREEMENT

These changes will be effective on or after the first day of your billing cycle that includes January 1, 2009. They will apply automatically to current and future balances on your account. Any other terms on your account not described in this notice continue to apply.

a. ACCOUNT SERVICE CHARGE. The FINANCE CHARGES section of your Agreement is amended to add the following new section:

Account Service Charge: Your account has a service charge, which will be billed monthly (as stated in the Rates and Fees Table). This charge is owed whether or not you use your account; and you agree to pay it when billed. These charges are finance charges, and are added to the balance for purchases on your account. The monthly service charge is nonrefundable unless you notify us that you wish to close your account within 30 days of the date we mail your billing statement on which the service charge is imposed and at the same time, you pay your outstanding balance in full. Your payment of the service charge does not affect our

INW13465 ADV3856 Continues on next panel

11/08

Continued from previous panel

right to close your account or limit your right to make transactions on your account. If your account is closed by you or us, we will continue to charge the service charge until you pay your outstanding balance in full and terminate your account relationship.

FINANCE CHARGES AND FEES. The Finance Charges and Fees below amend your Rates and Fees Table.

Service Charge – Finance Charge: \$10 per month (\$120 total annually)

b. MINIMUM PAYMENT. The portion of the Minimum Payment section of your Agreement that shows your minimum payment calculation is amended to read as follows:

Your billing statement shows your beginning balance and your ending balance (the "New Balance" on your billing statement), if the New Balance is \$10.00 or less, your minimum payment due will be the New Balance. Otherwise, it will be the largest of the following: \$10.00; 5% of the New Balance, or the sum of 1% of the New Balance, total billed periodic rate finance charges, and any billed late fees. As part of the minimum payment due, we also add any amount past due and any amount over your credit line/credit access line.

2. ANNUAL RENEWAL NOTICE

The account service charge is billed to your account monthly whether or not you use your account, and you agree to pay it when billed. The charge is \$10 per month (\$120 total annually), and it is a finance charge. The charge is non-refundable unless you notify us that you wish to close your account within 30 days of the date we mail your statement on which the charge is imposed and at the same time, you pay your outstanding balance in full. If you do this, you will not owe the last billed service charge; however, prior billed service charges are non-refundable and must be paid to pay your outstanding balance in full. Your payment of the service charge does not affect our rights to close your account and to limit your right to make transactions on your account. If your account is closed by you or us, we will continue to impose the service charge each month until you pay your outstanding balance in full and terminate your account relationship.

3. OTHER NOTICES

The principal factors we considered in amending your account include the APRs and revolving balances on your account. The federal Equal Credit Opportunity Act prohibits creditors from discriminating against credit applicants on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, marital status, age (provided the applicant has the capacity to enter into a binding contract); because all or part of the applicant's income derives from any public assistance program; or because the applicant has in good faith exercised any right under the Consumer Credit Protection Act. The federal agency that administers compliance with this law concerning this creditor is the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Customer Assistance Group, 1301 McKinney Street, Suite 3450, Houston, TX 77010-9050.

If you have any questions about these amendments, please contact us at the number on the back of your credit card, or write to Cardmember Service, P.O. Box 15098, Wilmington DE, 19850-5098.

Chase Bank USA, N.A. November 2008

